







Channel and floodplain dynamics in the Cambrian Illinois Basin

A. Reesink J. Best, D. Dominic, J. Freiburg, R. Ritzi, N. Webb





Office of

Science

1-Conceptual models



3-Geo-cellular models



Geological Storage of CO2 - key questions :

2-Statistical characterisation

0.6

0.25

- CO2 plume movement
- Position and movement of pressure front & microseismicity
 - Geo-cellular models of petro-physical properties •
 - Characterisation of grain-scale heterogeneity •

70 m interval of 2.5 km deep core

Multiple environments:

- Desert dunes
- Splay deposits
- Planar crinkly strata
- Fluvial channel
- Lagoon fine grained
- Shallow marine / foreshore
- Low-angle eolian deposits
- Shoreline, rounded sediment



Roughly a clock-wise development



River channel

- Coarse base, 5 units, 3 fining upward
- Mostly dune sets, some ripple sets
- No distinct vertical thinning of sets
- 2 angle-of-repose bars on top
- Marine/coastal deposits below
- Planar strata & eolian dune deposit on top









River channel

Quantifying formative bedforms:

- 41 dune sets, mean 0.05 m
 - indicates 0.12-0.21 m high dunes
- 2 bar sets 0.43 & 0.48 m
 - 10 pre-sorted cross strata in the bar sets
- indicates 0.08-0.16 m high dunes (H/L=0.1)



Reesink & Bridge, 2007-2009-2011; Reesink et al. 2015; Nicholas et al, 2015



Planar crinkly strata





Mostly fine and medium sand





Deflation lags & ripple strata











Interpretation

- Traces of biofilms (wet, likely sticky surface)
- Adhesion structures (pseudo cross strata)
- Eolian ripples; coarse sand & granule lags
- A wet plain, dominated by eolian activity?
- No perfect modern analogue exists...



Zandmotor The Netherlands





Sandur coastal plain Lenç Iceland

Lençóis Maranhenses N.P. Brazil

Groundwater control on basin fill



From Mountney, 2004

Numerical experiment

Which self-organising (flood)plain processes?

- Groundwater \rightarrow deflation vs adhesion
- Sediment balance between "plain deposits" and desert dunes



Numerical experiment

Controls: Rain & Rivers - The rest is self-organising?



Great questions & implications

- Predicting palaeo-surface topography
 - River may incite groundwater-surface waves
 - Rain & conductivity control length and amplitude of groundwater surface waves

- Buffers against deflation
 - Deposition when groundwater = surface
 - Erosion only above 'capillary fringe'
 - Granule lags & cementation by biofilms...

Landscape and Climate

- Pre-vegetation Earth: aeolian processes in all types of climates
- Sediment supply to floodplain not *a priori* linked to river channel...



- Topography controls 'avulsion threshold' – multi-story / stable channel observed...
- Groundwater = climate + sea level (river profile)

Conclusions

- The Cambrian Illinois rivers were likely surrounded by floodplains on which deposition was controlled by Rain, Groundwater & Wind
- River banks may be more erodible, but 'autonomous' floodplains place the river channels in a different landscape context (effect on avulsion frequency?)
- Such an aeolian-fluvial system is unlike anything that exists today: need to know about processes!

Acknowledgements

The data were collected on behalf of the CSCO2 project - Department of Energy



ТАТЕ

UNIVERSITY"



Pre-sorting & reactivation surfaces



Reesink & Bridge, 2007, 2009, 2011

Pre-vegetation river systems

Central Standard Time – Chicago, USA 11:00-11:15 Jim Best – University of Illinois, USA 11:15-12:00 Darrel Long – Laurentian University, Canada 12:00-12:15 Phil Fralick – Lakehead University, Canada 12:15-12:30 Renato Almeida – University of São Paulo, Brazil

13:15-13:30 Mauricio G.M. Santos – UFABC, Brazil
13:30-13:45 Alessandro Ielpi – Laurentian University, Canada
13:45-14:00 Arnold Jan Reesink – University of Illinois, USA & University of Southampton, UK

14:00-14:45 James Syvitski – University of Colorado, Boulder, USA

GSCO2 Center for Geologic Storage of CO₂

